

## REMARKS

### The Rejections Under 35 USC § 112

These rejections are moot in view of the amendments to the forms of the claims.

### The Rejections Under 35 USC § 102

Not all the allegedly anticipating compounds were within the scope of the claims. No H group was possible in the positions for R<sup>1</sup> or R<sup>2</sup> in claim 3 and of R<sup>11</sup> or R<sup>12</sup> in claim 4.

In claims 3 and 4, the definitions of R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> and of R<sup>11</sup> and R<sup>12</sup> do not contain Cl. Additionally, support for the amendment at the end of the definitions of R<sup>1</sup> and R<sup>2</sup> and of R<sup>11</sup> and R<sup>12</sup> can be found, e.g., on page 24, lines 11-18.

The rejections are moot.

Reconsideration is respectfully and courteously requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees associated with this response or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-3402.

Respectfully submitted,

/Csaba Henter/

---

Csaba Henter, Reg. No. 50,908  
Attorney for Applicants

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO  
& BRANIGAN, P.C.  
Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1  
2200 Clarendon Boulevard, Suite 1400  
Arlington, VA 22201  
Telephone: 703-243-6333  
Facsimile: 703-243-6410  
Attorney Docket No.:MERCK-3113

Date: May 1, 2009